

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEFARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States 1 25-3; and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C., 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/960,712	09/21/2001	Stan J. Simpson	08998-00693	4279	
7	590 03/26/2003				
John R. Posthumus, Esq. LeBoeuf, Lamb, Greene & MacRae, L.L.P. Suite 2000			EXAMINER		
			TRAN, THUY VAN		
633 Seventeenth Street Denver, CO 80202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3652	3652	
			DATE MAIL ED: 03/26/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/960,712 Applicant(s)

Simpson et al.

Examiner

Art Unit

1 11 11 11 11 11 11	

	•	Thuy V. Tran	3652				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corres	pondence addres	3			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM							
- Extens mailing - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  ions of time may be evailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In date of this communication.  beriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within to beriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause to ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailin the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S	considered timely. g date of this commun .C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		•			
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL. 2b) This ac	tion is non-final.					
3) 🗆	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposi	tion of Claims			,			
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-46</u>	is/are	pending in the	application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/ar	e withdrawn fro	m consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.				
6) 🗆	Claim(s)						
7) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are objected	to.			
8) 💢	Claims <u>1-46</u>						
Applica	tion Papers						
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed onis/arc	e a) 🔲 accepted or b) 🗆 objecte	d to by the Exa	miner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved	b) disapprove	ed by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.					
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents ha		•_				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
	ntice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper					
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
3) [] Inf	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:					

Page 2

Application Number: 09/960,712

Art Unit: 3652

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-14, 16-29 and 32-46, drawn to a mast for fork lift truck, classified in class 187, subclass 226.
  - II. Claims 15 and 30, drawn to a carriage for a fork lift truck, classified in class 187, subclass 238.
  - III. Claim 31, drawn to a rail section for a fork lift truck, classified in class 187, subclass 230.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

  Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because at least base claim 16 does not require at least one of the roller to be angled relative to the front surface as recited in claim 30. The subcombination has separate utility such as being utilized alone or in combination with other combinations.

Application Number: 09/960,712 Page 3

Art Unit: 3652

- 3. Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because at least base claim 1 can have non-angled front inner surface relative to the lateral inner surface. The subcombination has separate utility such as being utilized alone or in combination with other combinations.
- 4. Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as being utilized in combination with rail sections that does not require the front inner surfaces are angled relative to the lateral inner surface. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application Number: 09/960,712 Page 4

Art Unit: 3652

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thuy v. Tran whose telephone number is (703) 308-2558.

Thuy v. Tran

3/22/03

GAU 3652